

**From:** [Chip Humphrey](#)  
**To:** [James McKenna](#)  
**Cc:** [Gene Revelas](#); [Jennifer Woronets](#); [Bob Wyatt](#)  
**Subject:** RE: CSM Issue Resolution  
**Date:** 02/14/2011 09:17 AM

---

Jim - I don't have a problem with those clarifications.

Chip

▼ [James McKenna ---02/12/2011 03:23:41 PM---Chip, a couple of points of clarification which I do not think alter our agreement \(but I want to ma](#)

## RE: CSM Issue Resolution

**James  
McKenna** to: Chip Humphrey

02/12/2011  
03:23 PM

Cc: Jennifer Woronets, Gene Revelas, Bob Wyatt

---

Chip, a couple of points of clarification which I do not think alter our agreement (but I want to make sure):

We will provide a table in Chapter 10 that describes the process used to reduce the full list of COIs to the 13 indicator chemical, and will also reference the lists, tables and maps in previous sections. This will include referencing maps from previous sections/chapters of chemicals posing unacceptable risk (but not generating NEW figures in Chapter 10 for this purpose).

Also, you mentioned we should reference figures and tables of chemicals "posing unacceptable risk", and I am assuming you meant chemicals "posing potentially unacceptable risk".

Please let me know if my interpretations are correct. Thanks, Jim.

-----Original Message-----

From: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov  
[mailto:Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Friday, February 11, 2011 10:08 AM  
To: James McKenna  
Cc: Jennifer Woronets; Gene Revelas; Bob Wyatt  
Subject: Re: CSM Issue Resolution

Jim and Bob

This is to confirm that we have agreement on the path forward for the revision of the CSM in the revised RI Report. Please note a clarification on the first item below in the email from Gene Revelas which we believe is consistent with our recent discussions.

1) In the beginning of Chapter 10, the description of the process used to reduce the full list of COIs to the 13 indicator chemicals will include a list or table of the COIs and reference the list(s), appropriate tables and maps in previous sections of the report that depict the COI sources and nature and extent, and those posing unacceptable risk.

Please let us know if you have any questions regarding the above clarification or the path forward. We look forward to working with the

LWG on any of the details for the revisions to the CSM.

Chip Humphrey  
EPA

CSM Issue  
Resolution

Gene  
Revelas

to: Chip  
Humphrey  
02/08/201  
04:26  
PM

1

Cc: "Jennifer Woronets", jim.mckenna, "Laura  
Jones"

Hi Chip -

Based on our discussion earlier today, here's my understanding of the agreed upon path forward for the revision of the Draft RI CSM Section (Section 10).

beginning 1) As previously discussed, we will add text to the  
of Section 10 that describes the process used by the LWG and EPA  
to reduce the full list of Portland Harbor COIs to the 13 CSM  
indicator chemicals discussed and mapped in detail in Section 10.  
revising 2) EPA agrees to the specific proposed approaches to  
Section 10.2 identified in the attached table (which was provided  
to EPA by the LWG on February 3, 2011), including the items noted  
in red.  
that 3) The LWG will provide a mapping tool (e.g., ArcReader)  
will allow EPA to toggle on/off all the data layers presented on  
the Section 10 chemical-specific panels (Panels A through C).  
This mapping tool will allow the user to zoom in and out on any  
portion of the Study Area with any combination of Section 10  
panel data layers on or off. This tool will be provide to EPA with

the  
Draft Final RI.

Thanks,

Gene

Gene Revelas n Senior Managing Scientist  
Integral Consulting Inc. n [www.integral-corp.com](http://www.integral-corp.com)  
1205 West Bay Drive NW n Olympia, WA 98502  
Tel: 360.705.3534, ext. 18 n Cell: 360.870.4950 n Fax: 360.705.3669  
HEALTH | ENVIRONMENT | TECHNOLOGY | SUSTAINABILITY  
[attachment "2011-02-03\_EPA CSM Outline Response Table.pdf" deleted by  
Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US]